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10.2. Pelagic Regionalisation
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1. Introduction

Regionalisation analyses are used to classify the environments across a region into a number of discrete classes, thereby providing a spatial and environmental subdivision of the study area. The classification is made on the basis of a number of environmental datasets, thereby providing an integrated description of the types of habitats characterised by those data. These types of analyses are typically undertaken as part of spatial management and modelling activities. This section presents the circumpolar primary pelagic regionalisation from Raymond (2011). It provides an update to earlier pelagic regionalisation work (Grant et al. 2006), and follows the advice from the Scientific Committee in 2010 that such analyses should consider depth, water mass characteristics, and dynamic ice behaviour (SC-CAMLR-XXIX 2010).

2. Methods

The methods for regionalisation follow those of Grant et al. (2006) and the CCAMLR Bioregionalisation Workshop (SC-CAMLR-XXVI 2007). Briefly, a non-hierarchical clustering algorithm was used to reduce the full set of grid cells to 250 clusters. These 250 clusters were then further refined using a hierarchical (UPGMA) clustering algorithm. The first, non-hierarchical, clustering step is an efficient way of reducing the large number of grid cells, so that the subsequent hierarchical clustering step is tractable. The hierarchical clustering algorithm produces a dendrogram, which can be used to guide the clustering process (e.g. choices of data layers and number of clusters) but is difficult to use with large data sets. Analyses were conducted in Matlab (Mathworks, Natick MA, 2011) and R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna 2009).

Earlier work (Grant et al. 2006) used depth, sea surface temperature (SST), and subsurface (200 m) nutrient data. The nutrient data were both spatially smoothed (based on relatively sparse historical CTD data) and missing in near-coastal shallow areas. Here, three variables were used as input variables: summer climatological SST, depth, and the proportion of time covered by sea ice (see Chapter 2 for data details). Sea surface temperature was used as a general indicator of water masses and of Southern Ocean fronts (Moore et al. 1999, Kostianoy et al. 2004). Sea surface height (SSH) from satellite altimetry is also commonly used for this purpose (e.g. Sokolov & Rintoul 2009), and may give front positions that better match those from subsurface hydrography than does SST. However, SSH data has incomplete coverage in some near-coastal areas (particularly in the Weddell and Ross seas) and so in the interests of completeness, SST was used here.

During the hierarchical clustering step, singleton clusters (clusters comprised of only one datum) were merged back into their parent cluster (5 instances, in cluster groups 2, 3, 8, and 13). Additionally, two branches of the dendrogram relating to temperate shelf areas (around South America, New Zealand, and Tasmania) were merged to reduce detail in these areas (since such detail is largely irrelevant in the broader Southern Ocean context).

Pelagic Regionalisation Map

Spatial distributions of the 20 cluster types from the regionalisation analyses.
3. Results

20 environmental types were apparent in the results (Map 1, Figures 1–2), and are summarised in Table 1.

Figure 1 Dendrogram from the hierarchical clustering step. The dotted red line shows the level at which the dendrogram was cut to produce the groups. Note that clusters 19 and 20 represent merged clusters, to reduce detail in temperate shelf areas.

Table 1 Summaries of the 20 cluster types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Area (x1000 km²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Polynya margins on the continental shelf, the South Orkneys plateau, and areas off Adelaide and Biscoe Island in the West Antarctic Peninsula. Moderately shallow (to ~1000 m) with ice cover ~20–50% and SST &lt;2°C.</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Polynya on the continental shelf, as well as areas off the Danco Coast of the Peninsula and the South Orkney Islands, and part of Banzare Bank. Low ice cover (~0–20%) and cold sea surface temperatures (&lt;2°C).</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Shallow shelf areas with ~25–60% ice cover. Restricted distribution, generally limited to East Antarctica.</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Shallow areas with high ice cover (~75–95%). Patchy distribution scattered around the continental shelf.</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Shelf areas with almost perennial ice cover (~75–100%).</td>
<td>1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Similar to 7, but shallower and with lower ice cover. Widely but sparsely distributed around the continental shelf.</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Moderate depths (~200–1000 m) and ice cover (~50–75%). Many areas correspond to general regions around polynyas (see e.g. Arrigo &amp; van Dijken 2003). Also areas of the southern Scotia Arc.</td>
<td>1030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–11</td>
<td>Sea ice zone. Clusters 8–11 form an approximately latitudinal, deep water continuum of increasing ice cover and decreasing SST. The northernmost limit (of cluster 10) is generally just south of the mean maximum winter sea ice extent.</td>
<td>1030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Moderate depth (~1000–2500 m) and sea ice cover (~40%). Restricted to parts of the southern Scotia Arc; and isolated pockets north of the Balleny Islands and off the West Ice Shelf.</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 13,14          | 13: Shallow (~200–1000 m) parts of the northern Kerguelen, Crozet, and South Georgia plateau areas, Conrad Rise. 14: Deeper (~500–2000 m) parts of the same plateaus, also Bouvetøya and the northern tip of the southern Kerguelen plateau. | 13: 398
14: 345
Total: 743 |
| 15             | Deep oceanic waters, encompassing approximately the southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current front and the Polar Front. | 14500            |
| 16             | Deep oceanic waters, bounded approximately on the north by the Sub-Antarctic Front. | 16800            |
| 17,18          | Temperate waters                                                                 | 17: 17000
18: 6560
Total: 23460 |
| 19             | Outer areas of the South American, New Zealand, and Tasmanian shelves, and scattered temperate banks. | 1420             |
| 20             | Broad distribution around the South American, New Zealand, Tasmanian, and Crozet shelves. Shallow, ice-free, and with warm SST (~10–20°C). | 1500             |
Figure 2 Properties of the 20 cluster types.

Pelagic Regionalisation Map 2 Locations of polynyas in East Antarctica (top; reproduced from Arrigo & van Dijken 2003). Clusters 1 and 2 (brown) show good correspondence with these locations (bottom).
4. Discussion

The results of these analyses are broadly similar to the 2006 primary regionalisation, with roughly concentric bands in open ocean areas, corresponding to the Southern Ocean fronts, and increased heterogeneity in shallower and near-coastal areas. The open ocean banding differs in detail between the two analyses. These differences are due in part to the different SST data sets used (1985–1997 Pathfinder data for the 2006 analyses, and 2002–2010 MODIS Aqua data here), as well as the fact that the open ocean regions experience no sea ice cover. Thus, cluster patterns in these areas in the current analyses are driven solely by differences in depth and SST. Previously, the sub-surface nutrient data would also have contributed to the open ocean structure. The Weddell Gyre, which was previously driven strongly by patterns in nutrient data, is now much less apparent.

The current results show an increased level of detail in shallow and near-coastal areas, because subsurface nutrient data (missing in many near coastal areas) were replaced by sea ice data, providing previously-missing spatial structure, particularly over the continental shelf. Previously, the Antarctic shelves were represented by a single class. These regions now have considerable additional substructure (i.e. clusters 1–7). The clusters representing polynyas (1 and 2; see examples in Map 2) show spatial distributions closely resembling the polynyas of Arrigo & van Dijken (2003).

The previous Kerguelen, Heard and McDonald Islands cluster is similar to the current cluster 13, which is now accompanied by a neighbouring class representing deeper areas of these plateaus (14). The previous Chatham Rise and Inner Shelf classes are still present, but merged into cluster 20. The Campbell Plateau and South American shelf class here (19) is largely identical to its 2006 counterpart.

A number of regionalisation analyses at smaller scales have recently been conducted (e.g. Constable et al. 2010, Sharp et al. 2010, Koubbi et al. 2011). Such regional-scale analyses are able to address smaller-scale structure and processes than a circumpolar analysis, and can make use of data with regional coverage that would be extremely difficult to include at a circumpolar scale. Thus, the general patterns in the current results should be similar to those derived at regional scales, but finer-scale details will likely differ.

The pelagic regionalisation of the Ross Sea region conducted by Sharp et al. (2010) is shown in Map 3a, with the matching subset of the current results shown alongside. The fine-scale regional analyses separated the continental shelf and off-shelf areas and conducted independent classification analyses for the two areas (Sharp et al. 2010). Analyses were based on water temperature, salinity, depth, and sea ice information, and identified 18 bioregions. Despite the differences in variables and spatial scale, the results from the circumpolar analyses are broadly similar, with a clear distinction between the shelf and offshore areas.
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Scope
Biogeographic information is of fundamental importance for discovering marine biodiversity hotspots, detecting and understanding impacts of environmental changes, predicting future distributions, monitoring biodiversity, or supporting conservation and sustainable management strategies.

The recent extensive exploration and assessment of biodiversity by the Census of Antarctic Marine Life (CAML), and the intense compilation and validation efforts of Southern Ocean biogeographic data by the SCAR Marine Biodiversity Information Network (SCAR-MarBIN / OBIS) provided a unique opportunity to assess and synthesise the current knowledge on Southern Ocean biogeography.

The scope of this Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean is to present a concise synopsis of the present state of knowledge of the distributional patterns of the major benthic and pelagic taxa and of the key communities, in the light of biotic and abiotic factors operating within an evolutionary framework. Each chapter has been written by the most pertinent experts in their field, relying on vast improved occurrence datasets from recent decades, as well as on new insights provided by molecular and phylogeographic approaches, and new models of analysis, visualisation, modelling and prediction of biogeographic distributions.

A dynamic online version of the Biogeographic Atlas will be hosted on www.biodiversity.aq.

The Census of Antarctic Marine Life (CAML)
CAML (www.caml.aq) was a 5-year project that aimed at assessing the nature, distribution and abundance of all living organisms of the Southern Ocean. In this time of environmental change, CAML provided a comprehensive baseline information on the Antarctic marine biodiversity as a sound benchmark against which future change can reliably be assessed. CAML was initiated in 2005 as the regional Antarctic project of the worldwide programme Census of Marine Life (2000-2010) and was the most important biology project of the international Polar Year 2007-2009.

The SCAR Marine Biodiversity Information Network (SCAR-MarBIN)
In close connection with CAML, SCAR-MarBIN (www.scarmarbin.be, integrated into www.biodiversity.aq) compiled and managed the historic, current and new information (i.e. generated by CAML) on Antarctic marine biodiversity by establishing and supporting a distributed system of interoperable databases, forming the scientific basis of the SCAR Marine Biodiversity Information System (OBIS, www.iobis.org), under the aegis of SCAR (Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, www.scar.org). SCAR-MarBIN established a comprehensive register of Antarctic marine species and, with biodiversity.aq, provided free access to more than 2.9 million Antarctic georeferenced biodiversity data, which allowed more than 60 million downloads.
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